Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 1 of 66 CR-10-00757-PHX-ROS, June 7, 2012 (Lotardo excerpt) UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 3 4 United States of America, 5 Plaintiff, 6 vs. CR10-00757-PHX-ROS 7 James R. Parker, Defendant. 8 June 7, 2012 9 10 11 **BEFORE:** THE HONORABLE ROSLYN O. SILVER, CHIEF JUDGE REPORTER'S EXCERPT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 12 13 JURY TRIAL - Day 6 14 15 (Lotardo testimony) 16 17 18 19 20 21 Official Court Reporter: Elaine Cropper, RDR, CRR, CCP Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse, Suite 312 22 401 West Washington Street, Spc. 35 23 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2151 (602) 322-7249 24 Proceedings Reported by Stenographic Court Reporter 25 Transcript Prepared by Computer-Aided Transcription United States District Court

United States District Court

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 3 of 66 3 JOHN LOTARDO - Direct	
PROCEEDINGS	l
(The following excerpt was transcribed.)	l
MR. PERKEL: Thank you, Your Honor. The government	İ
calls John Lotardo.	l
JOHN LOTARDO,	09:10:46
called as a witness herein by the Government, having been first	l
duly sworn or affirmed to testify to the truth, was examined	l
and testified as follows:	l
COURTROOM DEPUTY: State your name for the record,	İ
spell your last name, please.	09:11:30
THE WITNESS: John Lotardo, last name is	l
L-O-T-A-R-D-O.	l
COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please have a seat right up here,	l
please.	l
MR. PERKEL: Your Honor, we're having just one small	09:11:59
difficulty. Can I approach your clerk, please?	İ
THE COURT: Yes.	l
DIRECT EXAMINATION	l
BY MR. PERKEL:	l
Q. Good morning, Mr. Lotardo. Could you please introduce	09:12:24

ВΥ

- Q. yourself to the jury?
- Α. I am John Lotardo and I work over at Stewart Title.
- What is Stewart Title? Q.
- Actually, Stewart Title is what's called a title and escrow company. What that does is, we're the ones that help

United States District Court

09:12:35

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 4 of 66 $_4$

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

coordinate when people buy and sell property.

Q. And how long have you been employed with Stewart Title?

- A. I have actually been there for almost 19 years.
- Q. And what is your job at Stewart Title?

A. I'm the senior vice president and general counsel, so I'm kind of like an in-house attorney guy that works there.

- Q. And have you been at that same position during your tenure at Stewart Title?
- A. Yes, I am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. You said Stewart Title helps with the transfer of land.

11 What does that mean?

A. There's a couple of facets. When you're buying and selling property, you have a couple of aspects. You have the title side of it, meaning like the real estate records where when you're buying and selling property, you want to make sure who is selling the property they really own it. They haven't sold it to someone else; that they don't have a loan on it that doesn't get paid off, that kind of thing. Those are the title records. So we have like a title side that does that. They kind of review title records to make sure who owns what and so forth.

Then you have the what I call the escrow side.

That's the face of the company when you're interacting with the company for signing documents when you're, like, buying a piece of property, who you go to sign the deeds with or when you're

United States District Court

09:12:38

09:12:55

09:13:16

09:13:31

09:13:47

09:14:02

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 5 of 66 $_{5}$

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

signing the loan documents for your lender, when you are borrowing money. Usually that's the escrow side. Those are the ones that are working on the paperwork.

And then there's like the third aspect -- I mean, we do various other things as part of it. But then the other aspect is sometimes when lenders don't have their own -- how do I explain it -- payment processing systems, they will make their payments through Stewart Title versus, like, making it to your Wells Fargo or B of A. Some smaller companies don't have their own payment processing system, so they use our company. And that's another facet of what we do.

- Q. And you just explained that you make sure the title -there are no problems with the title. What does that mean?
 What does the word "title" mean and what does it mean "no
 problems with the title"? What does that mean with regards to
 your work?
- A. I understand that's probably a little open-ended but it is a very broad statement because it's based upon what -- when I talk about title, I'm talking about title records, real estate records that you see at the County Recorder's Office because you're supposed to record documents that I own the property as the deed is in my name. Or if there's a lender on the property, there will be a deed of trust recorded with the County Recorder's Office, so we would pick that up when we're reviewing the title records. Or if there's a release for that

United States District Court

09:14:08

09:14:18

09:14:37

09:14:56

09:15:14

09:15:29

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 6 of 66 $_{6}$

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

mortgage, we'll pick that up. Or, say, for example, the -like IRS, if you had, like, a lien on the property, it would
show up as a lien of record recorded and we would find that,
it's that kind of thing.

Q. And do lenders employ your services to check the title?

A. Yeah, all the time. I mean, that's what we do. When people are -- typically, when you're lending money to someone, you want to make sure that they own the property, that they are saying that if I don't pay, you get to foreclose on this property and take the property back, kind of like an IOU kind of thing.

- Q. And when you used the word "escrow," people here are going to close on a house in escrow, what does that mean?
 - A. Closing on a house or closing escrow, that's just kind of a term of art. When you bought your home, if you went to a title company to sign all of your papers, you had a bunch of pages that you signed, you went to the escrow company's branch office and that event when you're signing all of those documents and getting the documents assembled and getting recorded, that is the closing of the escrow. That whole event is the closing transaction when you basically bought the house or sold the house.
 - Q. Okay. Did Stewart Title provide these types of services that you just described, these business services, with respect to a residence located at 35802 North Meander Way in Carefree,

United States District Court

09:15:34

09:15:53

09:16:11

09:16:31

09:16:53

09:17:08

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 7 of 66 JOHN LOTARDO - Direct Arizona? 09:17:14 Yes, I believe so. In front of you are Exhibits 115 and then the sub-exhibits Q. 174 through 175, 149 through 154, and 547 through 562. Just looking at Exhibit --09:17:34 MR. MINNS: No objection to any of them. THE COURT: They are admitted. (Exhibit Numbers 115, 174, 175, 149-154, 547-562 were admitted into evidence.) MR. PERKEL: Okay. 09:17:39 And, Your Honor, at this time, the government also seeks the admission of the Exhibits 118 through 121 and 166. They are certified records from Maricopa County --MR. MINNS: No objection to any of them. THE COURT: No objection? 09:17:56 MR. MINNS: No objection to any of those, Your Honor. THE COURT: They are admitted. MR. PERKEL: Okay. (Exhibit Numbers 118-121, 166 were admitted into evidence.) 09:18:02 BY MR. PERKEL: Let's start with Exhibit 118. It's in the folder in front of you so you can look for it, and we're going to also put it on the screen in front of you, too, to help.

Now, do you see at the top of the screen it says

United States District Court

09:18:22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 8 of 66 g

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

1 Stewart Title & Trust?

Yes.

Q. And to the right of that there's a bar and under that it says "Official Records of the Maricopa County Recorder"?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the transaction.

Α.

09:18:32

09:18:25

- Q. Can you explain what this document is?
- A. Yeah. Well if you look at, that remember earlier I talked about where people buy and sell properties and we check the records of when people are recording documents and will pick up a deed. Well, this shows you that there's a warranty deed where, basically, someone has bought and sold a piece of property assuming bought and sale. You look at it, it was done in 1998 and it's the County Recorder's Office here in Maricopa County so it's identifying this document got recorded here in Maricopa County. And if you look, it's stamped by Stewart Title & Trust of Phoenix. That means that we're the ones that handled the transaction at the time. We're the ones that more than likely -- we're the ones that did the escrow and closed on
- Q. Let me ask you a question. The term "warranty deed," is that just to reflect a transfer of title? Is that a simpler way of saying it?
- A. Right. You're buying a piece of property. I am giving you a warranty deed. I am warranting, I am promising that I have this property and I'm giving it to you. This is the deed.

United States District Court

07.10.01

09:18:45

09:19:09

09:19:23

09:19:40

1 This is the document that does it.

- Q. Can you tell us, just looking at the document, this is a loan or a transfer that Stewart Title helped facilitate?
- A. Yes, sure.

- Q. And can you tell us who is selling the property to whom by 09:19:58 reading the document?
 - A. Yes. If you look at it, it will explain that the grantor, that is the seller, that's the easy way to figure out grantor is seller, was the group on the top that is highlighted which is the Dietrich Successor Trustees of the Dietrich Revocable Trust, blah, blah, goes, conveys to -- then you go to the next section, who it went to, who is the buyer.
 - Q. And can you read that next section where it says conveys and warrants?
 - A. Yes, conveys and warrants to grantees, that's basically the buyer when acquiring title, and that's Lee O. Melby and Rachel T. Parker, and then it has Harris, Trustees and James R. Parker, Trust Manager under Cornerstone resource trust dated June 22, 1994.
 - Q. And the property that is being transferred that is recorded in this warranty deed, can you tell us where that is on the document?
 - A. The property that we're talking about, go down to the next section and you have two things. You have all of that lot 503, Carefree, all of that according to book page 13, that's all of 09:2

United States District Court

09:19:46

09:20:14

09:20:30

09:20:54

09:21:07

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 10 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

the deal description. That's what county records uses to identify property.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

09:21:14

But if you go to the next sentence, kind of, it gives you a little help. It explains to you what it's commonly known as the address. And if you look at the address, it has the property there 35802 North Meander Way in Carefree and that is the property we were talking about earlier.

09:21:25

Okay. And then, finally, just above the title warranty it says, "When recorded mail to," why is that there in the document?

09:21:47

Well, back then, when you hand the document to the County Recorder's Office, especially back then, they would need to know what to do with the document after they have, you know, recorded it. They don't keep all of the originals. back to typically the buyer so that they can have proof so they 09:22:01 can show, "Hi, I own it. Here's the deed." And so that's why it would go back to them.

Okay. And then what's the date that is reflected on this warranty deed?

09:22:18

Well, the dated date is right there, that's July 24, '98.

That's at the bottom. What about at the time it was

- recorded, where is that on the document?
- Α. Up above where you go up to where the bar code is, that tells you the actual date of when it got recorded.
- Q. So that's when the transfer took place?

09:22:34

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 11 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

1	A. That is really when it got recorded. And so from, you	09:22:36
2	know, for notice purposes, the world will see it on July 28.	
3	Q. And this might sound obvious but why does someone have to	
4	record a transfer of property or sale of property with the	
5	County Recorder? Why are they even involved in this? Why	09:22:51
6	can't someone just buy and sell the property without that?	
7	A. The big issue has to do with notice. See, in Arizona, if	
8	you're buying a piece of property and you buy a piece of	
9	property from someone and then they go and try to sell it to	
10	someone else and sell to it someone else, you have to have a	09:23:06
11	way of the world figuring out who owns what and the county	
12	records are exactly that. They are the records of who owns	
13	what. So when you record this, this goes to show you that the	
14	grantor, the seller, sold it on that date to the buyer.	
15	Q. Okay. Let's move on. At this point I want you to take a	09:23:29
16	look at what has been identified as Exhibit 169.	
17	MR. PERKEL: And I also seek the admission of this	
18	exhibit, Your Honor.	
19	MR. MINNS: No objection, Your Honor.	
20	THE COURT: It's admitted.	09:23:40
21	(Exhibit Number 169 was admitted into evidence.)	
22	MR. PERKEL: Thank you.	
23	BY MR. PERKEL:	
24	Q. Let's turn to page two of the exhibit. Focus on the top	

United States District Court

third.

09:23:46

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 12 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

1 What's the date on this deed of trust?

the property and that's July 28 of 1998.

A. If you go up on the top, you see the -- up on the top right, the bar code. And you can see the date that it was recorded which was the same date as the deed when they bought

09:24:08

09:23:53

- Q. Okay. And we just looked at the warranty deed reflecting the transfer of title. Why is there this additional document? What does this deed of trust mean?
- A. Well, this goes to explain to the world and it's called deed of trust and assignment of rents, but really that's what we all think of as, like, a mortgage, the IOU to the lender.

09:24:22

You know, you basically are letting the world know that this property is not free and clear. There is money that is owed against this property. So that's what that document does. A lot of people call it a mortgage but we usually here in Arizona use deeds of trust.

09:24:43

- Q. And is the buyer referenced as the trustor in the line?
- A. Yes. If you go to the middle of the document there, the buyer on this is also the trustor because they are the ones that -- basically the ones who are obliqued to pay money.

09:25:02

- Q. Okay. And let's zoom out of there and let's go to the very bottom of the screen. What is the amount of money that that is contained in the document? What is the loan amount?
- 09:25:39

A. That is the -- \$375,000 is what is being excused or promised, shall we say, against this property by the buyers.

United States District Court

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 13 of 66,

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

So it's a loan from the sellers to the buyers of the Q. house?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:25:45

Right, exactly. Yeah, that's a particular term of art. mean, you see that out there a lot. Sometimes you may call it a seller carry-back and it's just exactly what it sounds like, where rather than the buyer getting a separate loan from, like, Wells Fargo or B or A or whatever, you go and, basically, the seller lends you the money. So, basically, so they don't have to go to a separate lender, the seller lends the money and that's what this secures.

09:25:56

09:26:16

- And let's go to page six of the document. And who signs the document as the trustor in this example, on this page?
- Well, you go look in the middle of the document, there's a Α. signature block for the trust manager, James R. Parker.

And let's take a look at some of the records from Stewart Title associated with this sale.

09:26:49

09:27:13

Let's turn to Government's Exhibit 556 and page two of the exhibit. This record comes from the Stewart Title file.

Can you tell us what this is?

If you look on the very top of it, it explains it's a purchase contract. It's a residential purchase contract here for Arizona and that is just a document where there's the agreement between the buyer and the seller. Okay. to buy your property for \$100 or whatever and it explains all of the terms of who is buying it, what you are buying, how

09:27:31

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 14 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

much. So that's what the purchase contract does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been talking about.

And the buyer in this, is that referenced under the receipt section?

- Well, if you are talking about what the buyer is, the very first line, it explains who the buyer is, and that is the Cornerstone Trust. James Parker is the manager or nominee. I mean, that kind of identifies who is going to be the buyer in this agreement.
- And what is the offer? What is the buyer offering to buy?
- Well, if you go down, you just are offering to buy a piece Α. of property and that is where it goes down to that whole idea of address and legal description and that is down underneath the offer section. It kind of explains that it is for 35802 North Meander Way, and that's the Carefree property we have
- 16 Okay. If we could click out of there. At the bottom of Q. 17 that first page it says seller financing. Is that what you were referring to, the carry-back? 18
 - If you go to the middle of that line where it talks about seller finance, that was what I was talking about earlier | 09:28:46 where rather than going to a separate institutional lender, then the seller acts as the lender.
 - Let's go to page nine of the same document and if you can go in the middle of the page, do you see a signature there?
 - Α. Right. That's under the -- for the offer, shall we say,

United States District Court

09:27:34

09:27:48

09:28:04

09:28:29

09:29:06

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 15 of 66,

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

in the line where it explains that's the Cornerstone, the same party that is listed as the buyer up above. This is the party where they actually signed the document, then signed by -- I think that's the manager. It's hard to read but I think that's the same signature of James Parker.

09:29:26

09:29:09

- And let's go to page 11 of the document and this one is a counteroffer. So what we just looked at was an offer. This is a counteroffer. What does this mean?
- Well, you keep in mind, someone may agree to buy your house for 100 bucks but you think it's worth 200 bucks so you do the counter action of, okay, well, I'll sell it to you for \$200. So that's the banter back and forth. And the way you do that is you create it in writing so you have this counteroffer

09:29:44

12 13 and you kind of assemble the documents altogether. 14

09:30:04

- 15 So this is the kind of the response to the offer.
- 16 And if we could just back out of that screen and, again,
- 17 let's go to the bottom, the signature line on that document.
- Does that look like the same signature we just looked at? 18
 - Right. This goes to show you that the counteroffer was You know, so this is the plan. The contract and the
 - counteroffer together makes the agreement.
- Okay. And that date is July 20, 1998? 22 Q.
 - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

23

And let's now go to Exhibit 557. And what does that top 24 25 portion, what does that trust agreement read?

09:31:02

09:30:24

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 16 of 66

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

It says, "I/we, the undersigned current managing," quote Α. unquote, "trust manager of the Cornerstone Resource Trust Truste (sic) Agreement dated 6-22-94 states that the trust has not been amended, modified or revoked, except as documented." And then you have a signature.

09:31:19

09:31:38

09:31:03

- And so part of the role of your work is to check the title and trusts and the makeup of trusts and so this would be a document that would be one of the duties of Stewart Title?
- Right. You want -- if you're dealing with a trust, you want to know whether the terms that you have are current. this is just explaining, hey, this trust agreement is current, basically. It's a trust certification.

- Let's go to Exhibit 562 and if we could just go to the top third portion of the page. What is this document?
- This is what's commonly called the HUD. In this case, it's the final HUD. It's the standardized form which is created by the Department of Housing, Urban Development. And it's basically the snapshot of the money, you know, what is happening in the deal, what the sales price was, what the payouts were or how much people brought in for money. All of

09:32:29

09:32:50

the money stuff that relates to that transaction.

- Okay. And is this one of the documents that is signed in escrow on the closing date?
- Well, the final HUD typically isn't signed because that happens, that is kind of done after that is done. The

09:33:09

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 17 of 66 JOHN LOTARDO - Direct		
estimated HUD, which is virtually the mirror of this, is what	09:33:13	
is signed by everybody.		
Q. And can you tell us the name of the borrower that is		
listed?		
A. The name of the borrower, that is James Parker as the	09:33:20	
trust manager.		
Q. And the name of the seller?		
A. That Robert W. Dietrich as successor, trustee.		
Q. And the property location?		
A. That is the lot 503 which is the commonly known as the	09:33:32	
35802 North Meander Way, Carefree.		
Q. And, again, I see Stewart Title & Trust. That's the		
settlement agent that is		
A. Right. That's another word for closing agent, escrow		
company. It's called various names.	09:33:50	
Q. And the settlement date to the right?		
A. Yeah. If you look at that, it was basically July 24.		
Q. Okay.		
A. 1998.		
Q. So this is the settlement statement that corresponds to	09:34:05	
the previous warranty deed and the deed of trust that we just		
discussed?		
A. Right. Exactly. I mean, they have the contract. They		
hought and mald managers mbs damages to account 2 12 12 1		

United States District Court

09:34:18

bought and sold property. The document recorded and this is

all of the final numbers because you have to have a record

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 18 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

somewhere, and this is the document that records the financial data of the transaction.

09:34:20

- Q. Now, let's go to just in this same page, what's the contract sales price?
- A. Right there it's \$450,000.

we talked about earlier.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:34:32

Q. And if we could just click out of there and go to the middle two-thirds of the page. So the contract sales price was \$450 and then let's go to the amounts paid in or in behalf of the borrower. What are the different sort of amounts that are going to be paid in terms of making up the 450?

09:34:55

A. Well, you have a couple of different things. You have your additional what's called good-faith deposit, your whole earnest money deposit, and that's that first line where they talk about \$50,000. Then you have the additional amount for -- at closing, when you are ready to close, how much more money you have to bring in because there's an agreement. And that is the \$25,000.

09:35:11

And then you have the -- down at the bottom is that note and deed of trust, that carry-back. That's that loan that

09:35:26

- Q. So we're looking at a \$375,000 loan and \$75,000 deposit.
 - A. Yeah. You add that all together, that's the sales price, basically, 450.
 - Q. Let's go to Exhibit 555, page two. And the top says "Note secured by deed of trust." What's that?

09:35:51

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 19 of 66 a

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

- Well, you have the deed of trust that we talked about Α. earlier. That's a document that gets recorded that tells the world, "Hey, I owe this person this amount of money." The document that identifies how much money, what the interest rate, all of those kind of details, that is in the note and that is the promissory note, just like the IOU. It's the terms. So this is the note for that \$375,000 loan that we've just
- been discussing?
- Exactly. 10 Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

19

21

22

23

24

25

there.

- And, again, this is for the same value or the buyer, again, is referenced as the Lee O. Melby and Rachel T. Parker
- 13 and James R. Parker. Is that where you have that line?
- Yes. You have the person, the one that is obligated, 14 which is listed in the first line, this Melby and partner, 15 16 Cornerstone Resource Trust, and they are promising to pay to 17 the seller we talked about earlier, who is acting as the lender and that is the Dietrich as successor trustee group. The trust 18
- 20
 - Let's go to the bottom portion of the page and if we could 09:37:00 just highlight -- actually, if you could highlight what is payable as follows. This first paragraph contains the essential terms of the loan?
 - Right. Exactly. When we talked about it earlier, this has the details of how much, when and all of that?

United States District Court

09:35:54

09:36:10

09:36:24

09:36:40

09:37:32

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 20 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

Q. And the third paragraph that begins July 24, 1999, what does that say?

09:37:37

A. Well, that says on July 24, 1999, which is basically one year from the close of the escrow, when they have completed the sale, the maker, that's the borrower, shall pay a principal reduction in the amount of \$25,000 at the time and the remaining unpaid principal balance shall be re-amortized over 30 years at seven and a half percent per annum.

09:37:51

Q. Okay. And so that's the additional \$25,000 deposit that we've discussed?

09:38:12

A. Well, this is over and above. This is the one that they pay a year later, meaning you are making normal month payments but what this lender, the seller did, is, hey, in a year from now I want you to basically pay down the loan 25 grand, meaning I want you to put more money into the deal a year from now. So in a year from closing, give us an additional \$25,000 one-time payment and then we'll readjust the payment schedule and the interest and all of that.

09:38:31

Q. Okay. And then the date in the paragraph right below that, it says July 24, 2003, what is that date?

09:38:57

A. Well, what we call that is the all due and payable date and the reason why we call it that is because it says there. The rest that is owed shall be due and payable July 24, 2003, which is basically five years later.

09:39:17

Q. Is this what is kind of loan referred to as a balloon

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 21 of 66 21

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

1 payment? 09:39:19 This is a balloon payment, the all-due date. 2 This is typical on these kind of transactions. 3 Okay. And then at the bottom it's signed again by the 4 Q. 5 trust manager? 09:39:29 Right, exactly. James R. Parker is the trust manager. 6 Α. 7 Ο. And then on the side of the -- there's what looks like a small handwritten note; is that correct? 8 Yes, up on the side there. There's some handwritten 9 notes, probably that were found in the file. 10 09:39:41 11 Ο. The three aspects to this loan, the 50,000 original deposit and the 25,000 additional one year later deposit, let's 12 look at those checks. Let's go to page 13 of Exhibit 556 and 13 if we could just highlight the top portion. And this is --14 15 this receipt reflects, what does this receipt reflect? 09:40:35 16 Α. That's -- remember at the beginning the first earnest money deposit there, the first good faith deposit of \$50,000, 17 that is what this represents, that initial deposit when they 18 started the contract. 19 20 Okay. That's that initial 50,000? 09:40:50 Ο. 21 Α. Yeah. And then let's go to, if we can, go to the entire screen. 22 23 It looks like there that is a copy of the check. If you look at it, it's kind of overlaid onto the receipt. 24 25 It was probably stapled together probably at the time. So 09:41:07

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 22 of 66,

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

there's the check that it shows that it was deposited and then the rest of the document is the receipt that it did come in.

09:41:11

Let's go to Exhibit 554, page two. If we could Okay. rotate that check. And this is the second 25,000 deposit. Тf we could just highlight or focus in on the top half of the green. Who is this made to the order of?

09:41:44

09:42:07

- That was made to Stewart Title & Trust. It's my company.
 - And is the date -- it looks like July 19, 1999?
 - This is -- it looks like this would correspond to that. Make a principal one-time additional payment of \$25,000 a year later from close of escrow. That's what the \$25,000
 - would represent. And at the top of the -- above Stewart Title & Trust there seems to be the check that -- the holder of the check. Can you

09:42:26

- 16 Α. MacKinnon Belize Land and Development Limited.
- And then at the bottom of the check, it looks like it's 17 Q. that same signature of the general manager. 18
 - Α. If you look on the bottom right, yeah, that looks like the same James Parker signature that we saw on those other | 09:42:42 documents.
 - I don't know if you can read the full account number on the bottom of the check, can you read that to us?
 - I think it's 981-20673-0.

just read that to us, please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean, the account number on the check itself, 252? Q.

United States District Court

09:42:58

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 23 of 663

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

Let's see. Down on the bottom there. Yeah, that number Α. is, like -- it looks like 25492-01 and I can't quite read it on Probably one six or one eight or something like that.

- Let's turn to Exhibit 558. Can you just read us Okay. Q. what this says or can you tell us what this exhibit means?
- Well, it's a supplemental escrow instruction, so I'm Α. thinking this is a part of the 1998 transaction we've been talking about. And it just says on here that buyer acknowledges that due to quick close of escrow, buyer has not received a copy of the preliminary title report or CC&Rs and hereby waives prior approval subject only to receiving free and clear title to subject property.
- And it looks like the same or similar signature? Q.
- Right. Exactly. 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I want to now move past this first 1998 loan and I want to 09:44:21 ask you a question about Government's Exhibit 119 which I think has been admitted. This is another warranty deed that is similar to what we looked at. Can you tell us the date of the warranty deed?
- Well, there's a dated date and a recording date. middle of it, it talks that it was dated August 5 of 2002 and then it was recorded a couple days later, August 9, 2002.
- If you could focus in on the top portion of the exhibit.

This again, this is a certified copy from the Maricopa County Recorder on -- for an August 9; is that right?

United States District Court

09:43:03

09:43:38

09:43:58

09:44:50

09:45:17

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 24 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

1 A. That's right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

21

22

23

24

- Q. And can you tell us in this case who is the grantor and who is the grantee or who is the --
- A. Right, exactly. Well, if you go back, this is a warranty deed, which is when you're transferring title to a piece of property. So you have the grantors. These are the people selling the property or transferring title. They are the ones that have title and are transferring. And that is the Rachel T. Parker Harris, Trustee, and James R. Parker, Trust Manager, under Cornerstone Resource Trust dated June 22, 1994, and they list their addresses.
- 12 Q. If we could just focus on those paragraphs.

then it has their address.

- A. And then if you go into the second sentence, it says, "Do appear by convey and warrant to grantee Sunlight Financial,

 LLC," which is an Arizona limited liability partnership and
- Q. And this is for that same property. It's commonly known as a 35802 North Meander Way?
- A. Right. Exactly. Below there it has all of the details on the deed.
 - Q. Does the document reflect the recording of the transfer of title from Cornerstone represented by Rachel Parker and James Parker to this Sunlight Financial?
 - A. Right. That's what this document does.
- 25 Q. There's no reference in this document to any kind of

United States District Court

09:45:22

09:45:34

09:45:52

09:46:12

09:46:28

09:46:43

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 25 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

mortgage or anything like that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

09:46:44

A. No. I mean, that's a different document. That is that other document we talked about which was a deed of trust that we usually call mortgage or something like that. That is a different document. This is just transfer of title.

09:46:55

Q. Okay. By the way, this warranty deed, August 9, 2002 warranty deed, did Stewart Title play any role in this warranty deed?

09:47:17

A. I don't think so. Because if you look at the top it says TransNation Title Insurance so somebody else recorded it for them.

J9:47:17

Q. Let's now go to the next loan that Stewart Title helped with regards to the same property and I want to direct your attention to the July 21, 2003, loan and also to Government's Exhibit 120, page two. And if we could just focus in on the top half of the document. What does this deed of trust represent?

09:47:31

A. Well, this is basically just like the other deed of trust.

It's a mortgage. It's the loan, shall we say. It's the

document that records in the county records that shows that

09:47:53

someone lent them some money and it's secured against this property.

09:48:10

A. Well, when it was recorded it was recorded July 31 of 2003.

And what's the date in the top right-hand corner?

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 26 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

Q. And can you tell us who the parties are in this loan?

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, you could see who the Sunlight Financial is, the trustor. They are the borrower, and remember looking at the document before, they are the ones that held Title so they are basically getting a loan from the beneficiary lender. I'm not

sure. Called many different things. That's Universal

Properties. And the Universal Properties is the one that is acting as the lender here.

- Q. Let me ask you a question. Why is Universal Properties at this stage using Stewart Title? And I see the name of your company at the top of the screen. Why are they using you guys? Why don't they just lend the money to Sunlight?
- A. That's why we're in business. We go and check for lenders to make sure that they know when they are lending money to someone, in this case Sunlight Financial, that they do own the title, that -- if there are any other loans on the property, that they know about them or -- anything like that. We check the title records for them to make sure that gets taken care of.
- Q. Okay. Let's go now to the bottom portion of the screen and can you tell us the amount of the loan?
- A. If you go through the middle section of it, it shows that it's \$355,000.
- Q. In this case there's no warranty deed in this particular transaction. How come?

United States District Court

09:48:18

09:48:31

09:48:50

09:49:08

09:49:24

09:49:47

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 27 of 66, JOHN LOTARDO - Direct Well, because the title holder wasn't selling. They were Α. 09:49:49 just borrowing money. And that's against the value of the house? Q. Right. Exactly. Α. Did Stewart Title play a role in offering other business Q. 09:49:59 services with respect to this loan? Well, probably. If you remember some of the things we do, we handle the escrow transaction. We handle some of the paperwork, some of the, you know, coordinating the money, the title records. And then also, if I recall correctly, this is 09:50:15 one of the loans that were what I call serviced. The payments were processed through us. Let's go to government Exhibit 551, page two. And if we This document was found in your records from Stewart 09:50:40

could just focus on the letter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Title and the letterhead at the top reads Robert Dietrich.

Α. Exactly. It's a letter that Dietrich wrote to Mr. Parker and the document explains -- has some conversation in there? Q. Okay.

MR. SEXTON: I think it's a little small. You might want to enlarge parts of it so the jury can see it. BY MR. PERKEL:

09:51:03

09:51:22

We can just focus in on the first paragraph in the top or going from the top down to the first paragraph.

And the date of the letter?

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 28 of 66 a

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

"Dear Mr. Parker: This letter confirming our phone call

- It's July 22, 2003. 1 Α.
- And can you just read the first paragraph of the letter. 2
- of Monday, July 21, 2003. Since the sale of the property to 4
- 5 you back in July of 1998, both of the settlers of the Robert
- W. Dietrich and Berniece S. Dietrich Revocable Trust have 7 passed away. As you can imagine, the beneficiaries have their
- 8 own plans for how to invest the money that they will be
- receiving. As such, I am not in a position to make a 9
- significant extension of the due date for the balloon, beyond 10
- 11 the one week you requested. Instead of the balloon being due
- July 24, 2003, it will now be due July 31, 2003." 12
- So it looks like Dietrich gave Mr. Parker a week 13
- extension. 14

3

6

Α.

- 15 Right. If you remember the first loan, they had that loan 09:52:11
- 16 that became all due July 2003. This is the time when it
- 17 becomes due, they got a one-week extension.
- Okay. 18 Q.
- 19 And, again, it looks like there's a handwritten note
- 20 at the bottom from -- with the name Jim?
- 21 It says Jim. Yeah. They are basically referencing
- that to someone, identifying some comments regarding what is up 22
- 23 above.
- Okay. Let's go to Exhibit 552, page three. Now, we 24
- 25 talked about the balloon payment coming due and that's the

United States District Court

09:51:23

09:51:35

09:51:55

09:52:29

09:53:02

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 29 of 66 a

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

balloon payment for the 1998 loan?

09:53:04

That's correct. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it's coming due now at the end of July. Can you tell Q. us this document that was in your record, what does it mean?

You see up at the top it says payoff calculations. I love 09:53:14 It helps. The payoff calculations is it is all coming titles. due. So what they needed to figure out, how much was all due. There was payments made, interest calculations. So remember we were servicing it, so we were handling the payment processing.

So that is what this document is kind of explaining, what those amounts will be due when it becomes due at the 31st of July.

And the servicing, just to make sure it's clear, when you say servicing, is the borrower paying Stewart Title and then Stewart Title forwards that money on to the original loaner; is 09:53:55 that right?

- Right. I mean, kind of a clerical payment processing center, not very, you know, sophisticated. Make payments in. Payments are applied, and then payments are sent out.
- What do borrowers use or why do people or institutions that lend money or investors, why do they use that service? Why don't they have just have the borrower directly send the money to them?
- Two different reasons; right? If you are making a payment, you want to have proof that you made the payment and

United States District Court

09:53:35

09:54:12

09:54:25

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 30 of 66 30

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

when you made it, on time. And so that helps because you have this third party showing okay, the money came in on time and it got properly credited.

09:54:30 it

The lender, who wants to make sure that the payments get in on time and that it's properly accurate, they use a service, too. So it's to help identify when and how much money came in, when and how much money goes out?

09:54:46

- Q. All right. And the first line under account data, it says Parker pays Dietrich trustee.
- 10 A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:55:04

- Q. Is that just sort of the payoff amount or is that of the --
- A. That's just the account so you kind of know who the parties are and like it sounds, it's the Parker group that we have been talking about pays the Dietrich group that we have been talking about.

09:55:14

Q. And now let's go to the bottom portion of the page where there's the details of the payment amount. So of the original \$3 -- excuse me, the original \$375 loan from 1998, what is the payoff amount approximately in the end of July?

09:55:34

09:55:56

- A. Well, you go to the bottom, that's kind of the calculation with all of that other stuff we talked about is \$338,344.76.
- Q. Now, once that money gets paid out, who does Stewart Title pay?
- A. Well, some of it goes to us because we earned a fee for

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 31 of 66,

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

what we are doing, doing all of this payment processing; but all the rest of the money, it goes to the lender, the party who lent the money.

- So in this case, the original lender, the Dietrichs?
- Right. Exactly. They are the ones that get the Α. Yes. bulk of the money, of course.

Let's go to Government's Exhibit 147. Actually, let's back out of there. That's the wrong exhibit. It should be Exhibit 174, I'm sorry. There we go. This is the promissory note for the \$355,000 loan?

11 Α. Yes, it sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

- I'm not going to go through all of the paragraphs. 12 Q. is a promissory note? 13
- Well, this is the specific terms that explained how much 14 15 was borrowed and what the payment will be, what the interest 16 rate will be between the borrower, who was Sunlight, and 17 Universal Properties, who was the lender.
 - If we could click out of there. Let's go to Exhibit 561, If we could -- and this is, it look like another HUD or settlement statement.
 - Α. Right. Exactly.
- So what is this HUD settlement statement? This is for the 22 23 2003 loan?
- Right. If you look, this is the one which is basically 24 25 identifying the amounts and so forth for this 2003 -- I call it 09:57:59

United States District Court

09:56:00

09:56:12

09:56:43

09:57:03

09:57:48

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 32 of 66, JOHN LOTARDO - Direct a refinance because basically you reif'd the prior loan with 09:58:05 this new loan. So the 2003 refinance involves the borrower, Sunlight, and Q. lender, Universal, that we just discussed? Right. Exactly. 09:58:17 Α. And then, again, the same property location? Yes, m'hum. And the date I think -- the settlement date is July 31 of Q. 103? Exactly. Α. Right. 09:58:29 All right. Let's go to -- let's just go to the full screen and there's a -- sort of the bottom half. You can see the payoff -- is there a payoff amount that is to Stewart Title that would then be forwarded to the Dietrich family? If you look at the screen towards the top, it talks about 09:58:53 the payoff to Stewart Title on like line 104 on the HUD. is the payoff number. Remember we talked about earlier the payoff figures that we talked about a few moments ago on the payoff calculation. That's the payoff to Stewart Title's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

department for that loan?

09:59:13

09:59:33

They have

just been paid off; is that right?

United States District Court

account servicing. Does that make sense, account servicing

Title pays off the Dietrich family from the refinance,

Dietrichs are no longer involved with this loan.

And so after this -- after the \$355,000 loan and Stewart

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 33 of 66_{33}

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

- A. Right. Yeah. You get paid what you are owed and you are 09:59:34 done and you move on.
- Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibit 560, page two and the top portion. And what is this document, what does it mean, the account servicing agreement?

10:00:03

A. Well, account servicing, remember I mentioned we have different departments. One is the processing of the payments and that's the account servicing is the payment servicing portion of Stewart Title and that is -- this is the agreement with the parties about what they are doing, what the fees are to do this, that kind of thing.

10:00:17

- 12 Q. And what's the date of this one?
- A. This is also in July 2003, so this was the servicing agreement for this new loan that just got put on.

Q. Let's go to Exhibit 549, page two. What does -- this is a 10:00:36 document from Stewart Title to James Parker. What is this kind

of document for?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

18

19

20

23

24

25

A. It just is a breakdown to give an idea of what the quarterly payments would be. So if you look at it, it's just kind of a -- it's a breakdown of what the payment represents.

10:01:02

- Q. Okay. And the total payment on this document reflects \$11,440 payment?
 - A. Right. Exactly.
 - Q. And let's go to Exhibit 548, page two. This is another letter from Stewart Title. What's the date of this letter?

10:01:27

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 34 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

Well, the original date is August 7, 2003, and this was Α. the letter -- basically, it's part of that transaction that closed like the week before. From the escrow side, it's part of the refinance. Basically, I kind of call it the goodbye letter. Here's copies of your documents for the refinance. Here's a couple of the copies of the documents, the promissory note, loan agreement, closing statement, and this is that document that gets sent out to the borrower from the escrow side after you've completed your refinance.

And in this case, who was it sent to? 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- That was sent to Rachel Parker, Sunlight Financial. 11
- And then in the top right-hand corner it looks like there 12 Q. was a return for -- a handwritten return. 13
 - It looks like this was probably faxed over back to Stewart Title. But this would have gone to Riceo was actually in account servicing. This would have been sent to Riceo in account servicing who is the one that does the payment servicing, the servicing of the payments.
 - Q. So you recognize the -- sort of the name, Attention: Riceo?
- 10:02:50

- Α. Exactly. I know who that individual is.
- And what is the date of the fax? Q.
- 23 Go up on the top. It's October 29 of '03 it looks like.
 - And the fax appears to come from who, for the record? Q.
 - Well, if you look at it, it says attention Riceo from Α.

United States District Court

10:01:35

10:01:56

10:02:11

10:02:30

10:03:08

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 35 of 6635

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

James Parker. So it came from James Parker.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:03:10

Q. I don't know if you can even read that. What does it say underneath James Parker?

A. "Please fax coupon showing principal and interest due to" this following fax number. That is the -- when people are making payments, they usually like to have -- back then they did used to use coupon booklets. You used to tear it out and send it in with your monthly payment or quarterly payment. It's the same kind of thing. We would -- at least back then certainly would basically prepare coupon booklets for people and so that's what he's asking for. Some people don't like making payments until they have their coupon.

10:03:41

10:03:24

Q. Okay. Let's move on from the 2003 loan.

Let's go to Exhibit 166, page two. What is the date at the top of this record from Maricopa County Recorder?

10:04:27

- 16 A. This looks like it was recorded February 14, 2004.
 - Q. This is not a loan that Stewart Title facilitated; correct?
 - A. No. It doesn't look like it's one that we would have done, you know, or prepared or participated in.

10:04:48

- Q. And then what is the -- just briefly, what does this deed of trust tell you. What's the amount and the parties involved?
- A. Once again, this looks like a loan, a mortgage or whatever, which was given or was basically Sunlight borrowed money from Omega Construction it looks like. They might have

10:05:12

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 36 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

been the lender and Sunlight being the borrower?

10:05:20

- Q. So Omega loaning money to Sunlight.
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And then if we could go to the middle portion of the page under the word "witness," what's the amount that is referenced as the loan amount?

10:05:28

- A. \$296,000.
 - Q. And, again, this deed of trust or the loan is -- the record reflects the security against that same property; is that right?

10:05:46

A. That's right. Yeah. If you scrolled up and looked a little bit at part of the legal description, it would have some more of those details in a different section of the document.

Q. Okay.

And although Stewart Title didn't service this loan, this \$296,000, we're going to now jump to the 2005 loan. When doing a title search on the 2005 loan, this is a document that Stewart Title would have looked at. Is that fair to say?

- A. Exactly. I mean, I'm assuming it didn't get paid off or released within that same year. You would pick this up on the title records because the records would show this. This is a good thing to find because you want to know if there's one loan against the property, two loans or five loans.
- Q. Why does that make a difference?
- A. Well, if you are going to loan -- if you're going to loan

United States District Court

10:06:01

10:06:21

10:06:33

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 37 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

money against a property that is worth \$100 and it has \$99 against it, you don't want to give another \$100. I mean, the same kind of idea. Or if you're buying a piece of property, you're going to want to make sure that all of that \$99 gets paid off before you buy it.

10:06:51

10:06:36

- Q. Okay. Finally, let's turn now to the August 2005 loan.

 I'm going to direct your attention to Exhibit 121 and that is page two of the exhibit. Just the top portion of the exhibit.
- In this case, what's the date that the deed of trust was recorded reflecting this mortgage or this refinance?

10:07:33

- 11 A. This was August 16, 2005.
 - Q. And who are the lenders in this case?
- A. The lender in this case is the same one from before. If you look, it's Universal Properties.
 - Q. Okay. Is this the same parties to the \$355,000 loan?
 - A. Yeah. If you remember that one from two years ago, it's
- the same borrower and the same lender.
- Q. Okay. And, again, Stewart Title helped facilitate this loan is that fair to say?
- 20 A. Yes. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

15

16

25

10:08:08

10:08:25

10:07:52

- Q. And the -- does this involve the same property if we could click out of there and go to the middle section.
- A. Yes. It sure is. That's the same lot, 503 over in Carefree.
 - Q. And what is the amount of money that is being loaned as

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 38 of 66,8 JOHN LOTARDO - Direct reflected by this deed of trust? 10:08:29 That is the \$1.5 million. I see. That is paragraph B? Q. M'hum. Α. Let's turn now to page 30 of Government's Exhibit 115. 10:08:59 And this is the promissory note between the borrower and the creditor? The promissory note that goes with the deed of And it's the same \$1.5 million loan.

trust that explains the terms and the interest rate and the payments that are secured by the deed of trust that we just talked about.

10:09:29

- Q.
- Α. Right.

interest.

Let's go to the third paragraph of this page and when it says, "Maker shall pay interest-only payments," what does that

10:09:48

16 mean?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

25

It means that the borrower is going to only make the payment which represents the interest that is due at that time whenever that payment is due, so meaning you're not reducing the balance that is owed. You are basically only paying the

10:10:09

And let's go to Exhibit 547, page two. If we could just 22 23 focus on the top portion, great. Is this another payoff calculation? 24

10:10:36

Yeah. If you look at it, it's 2005 and it looks like

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 39 of 6639

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

there was a -- you can't read it, but it's payoff calculations. It's kind of stamped over the preprinted form, but on the top it says payoff calculation so it's another one of those payoff calculations that the account servicing department did for them in 2005.

10:10:58

10:10:39

- Q. Okay. And the first line, again, where it says account data, that just reads -- that just reflects the parties?
- A. Right. This account, Sunlight Financial is listed as the borrower. Universal Properties as the lender. This is for the 2003 loan that Sunlight got from Universal.

10:11:18

- Q. Okay. Right. This is a payoff to pay off the 2003?
- 12 A. Right. Exactly.
 - Q. And let's go to the bottom portion of the page under the detail data. So we can see the current balance of 355, that's the balance on August, August of 2005?

10:11:40

16 A. Right, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So the previous loan was an interest-only loan as well?
- 18 A. Yeah. It looks like it would have been.
- Q. And so now the \$1.5 million loan is going to include a payoff on the previous loan?

10:11:56

10:12:10

- A. Right. Basically, it's kind of like restructuring. You go to the same lender and say, "Okay. I owe you money on this one. Let's kind of roll it into a new loan. Let's make it a little more and roll it into a whole other loan."
- Q. And then we give an approximation of the payoff amount of

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 40 of 66_{40}

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

1	about 377?	10:12:13
2	A. Right. If you go down there, \$377,750.99.	
3	Q. Let's go to government Exhibit 149, page two. And, then,	
4	this is the third settlement statement that we've looked at	
5	today. Is this the settlement statement that applies to the	10:12:45
6	August 2005 loan?	
7	A. Yeah. That's what it looks like. It looks like this is	
8	the I call it I would call it the 2005 refinance.	
9	Q. Okay. 2005 refinance. And the name of the borrower again	
10	is listed as Sunlight?	10:13:02
11	A. Exactly. It's the same title, Sunlight Financial, same	
12	Universal Properties.	
13	Q. And then the property location again, same location;	
14	right?	
15	A. Right. The one on North Meander Way, m'hum.	10:13:11
16	Q. Now, let's go to the bottom portion of this document	
17	starting actually a little bit above summary of the activity.	
18	Let's go to line 104. I don't know if you can see it.	
19	Can you read that, the payoff to Stewart Title?	
20	A. It's listed as a payoff to Stewart Title for the three	10:13:44
21	let's see, \$356,450.99.	
22	Q. That's really the payoff of the previous loan; is that	
23	A. That's the number that represents the payoff for that '03	
24	loan.	
25	Q. And then what is the new loan, the new loan amount before	10:14:01

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 41 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

1 the payoff? 10:14:07 Obviously, the new loan is the 1,500,000 so that's the new 2 3 loan amount. And that's in line 202? 4 Q. 5 Α. Yes. 10:14:16 6 And then with the payoff and then some settlement charges 7 of \$11,000, what is, sort of, the net cash to the borrower, Sunlight Financial, in this case? 8 Well, it's at the very bottom of the screen. That talks 9 about the \$1,132,258.42. So, basically, like, \$1.1 million was 10 cashed out of this. 11 When we talk about refinance and rolling previous loans 12 into new loans, is that something that happens? Is that what 13 people do sometimes? 14 15 Right. You go to one lender. It works out. 10:14:49 16 some more from the same lender on the same property and they 17 will lend you more money. So even though the lender nets out at -- the borrower nets 18 out at about 1.1 million in cash, he, though, is still paying 19 20 full interest on the 1.5 million loan? 10:15:08 21 Right. Kind of a term of art where you roll your prior obligation into the new one. You don't get a whole -- you are 22 23 rolling it in so you are using parts of the new loan to pay off 24 the prior loan. Makes sense?

United States District Court

10:15:24

25

Q.

M'hum.

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 42 of 662

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

And let's go to Government's Exhibit 150. Let's go to the -- let's go to the receipts posted section. What is this, by the way? What does this final disbursement report mean?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you remember, the HUD showed you the snapshot of what all of the final numbers were? This disbursement, this is just the record in the escrow branch when they are handling the money, what money came into their file, what money went out, you know, like what checks did they cut.

- And so in this case, the incoming flows, which are the receipts posted, those are the three parties to the receipts posted?
- That's right. This is the parties that -- basically, Universal Properties and their principals deposited the money that was being lent to the borrower. So the lender deposits their money in to us and they basically allow us to release the money back out to the borrower.
- So you sort of act in this case as the middleman between that -- between the lender and the borrower?
- Right. That's what we do. I mean, they want to make sure 10:16:40 that before the money gets released, their loan is secured and protected on the property so that's why they use us.
- And I see the 1.145 is the total amount of receipts posted. Again, it's not the 1.5 million because the 355 was sort of rolled in there.

United States District Court

10:15:25

10:15:51

10:16:07

10:16:23

10:17:00

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 43 of 66_{43}

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

A. Yeah. It's kind of what's called like net funding where basically, okay, we're rolling that 300 plus thousand into this new loan so what that means, basically, you're netting 1.1 million. That is basically how it happens when you roll the prior obligation in.

10:17:18

10:17:01

- Q. And let's go to the bottom half of the screen and just the disbursements. Let's focus in on the three disbursements to Sunlight Financial. It looks like three checks in lines four through six.
- through six.

 A. Right. Yeah. If you look, there was basically the moneys 10:17:40 were deposited and then the money gets sent out to the borrower. For some reason they wrote three separate checks. I mean, I can't tell why. But more than likely, the borrower at

14 the time, Sunlight Financial, wanted the checks cut in three

15 batches. I don't know why.

10:18:04

Q. Okay. Let's look at the checks. Let's look on

Government's Exhibit 151, page two. And let's just look at the

top check. Is this just one of the checks that was cut after

the 2005 refinance?

20 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

23

25

10:18:34

10:18:49

- Q. And can you tell us the date of the check?
- 22 A. Yeah. That was -- it looks like it's August 16, 2005.
 - Q. And the amount?
- 24 A. \$377,419.47.
 - Q. And again, I see Stewart Title & Trust is at the top of

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 44 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Direct

the check so that's one of your checks. 1 10:18:57 Yeah. That would have been out of the escrow branch when 2 3 they are closing in. They are disbursing the money, that branch that handled that. 4 5 Let's look at the back of check. In the middle of the 10:19:04 6 check you can see where it was endorsed or where it was 7 deposited, what bank? It looks like it's Amarillo National Bank it looks like 8 9 stamped in the middle. And on the side of the check you can see the endorsement; 10 10:19:31 11 is that correct? Right. Yeah. If you look at the signature on the other 12 Α. side there, like when you make -- when you the deposit checks, 13 you know, you sign it. So that's the endorsement right there. 14 15 And it says pay to the order of -- can you read what that 10:19:45 16 says, pay to the order of? "Pay to the order of," I think it says, "RSI Investments 17 by, " and then it's, "Rachel T. Harris, Manager, Sunlight 18 19 Financial, LLP." 20 Let's go to Government's Exhibit 152, page two. And let's 10:20:04 21 just highlight the top check again. This is just another one of the same checks. Is that fair to say? 22 23 Α. Yeah. This looks like it's the one of the other -- one of the other -- it's one of the three checks. This is the second. 24 25 Q. Let's go to the endorsement side of the check. And, 10:20:30

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 45 of 665 JOHN LOTARDO - Direct again, this looks like the same information as from the 10:20:39 previous check. If we could rotate the check. And at the top where it says, "Pay to the order," is this a little clearer this copy; right? "Pay to the order of RSJ," it looks like this time, Α. 10:20:56 "Investments." And it looks like it's signed by Rachel Harris as the manager of Sunlight? Yes. So they just endorsed the check over before depositing it. 10:21:14 And finally let's look at Exhibit 153, page two. It looks like it's the third check in that series of three checks; is that right? Α. Yes. 377,000 approximately? Q. 10:21:30 Α. Correct. And let's just go to the endorsement side and it looks Q. like the same bank, Amarillo National Bank? Α. Yes. All right. And let's take a look at the top part.

It looks like the same type of endorsement like the

others, to RSJ Investments, and then it's signed by Rachel T.

And, again, with regards to this 2005 loan, \$1.5 million

loan, your company was also involved in the collection process

United States District Court

10:21:51

10:22:20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ο.

Harris, manager for Sunlight.

	JOHN LOTARDO - Direct	
1	of the interest payments on that loan?	10:22:26
2	A. Right, for Universal Properties, we were handling the	
3	payment, processing of the payments for the first one. So when	
4	they made the bigger loan, we basically they did asked us to	
5	do it so we handled the servicing, the payments, for the larger	10:22:33
6	loan.	
7	Q. Thank you.	
8	MR. PERKEL: Your Honor, could I have one moment,	
9	please.	
10	I have no further questions, thank you.	10:22:41
11	THE COURT: All right. We're going to take a break.	
12	How much time are you going to take, Mr. Minns?	
13	MR. MINNS: I'm guessing 30 minutes.	
14	THE COURT: All right. We'll take a break. 20	
15	minutes, ladies and gentlemen. We're in recess.	10:22:50
16	COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.	
17	(Jury departs.)	
18	(Recess at 10:22; resumed at 10:44.)	
19	(Jury enters.)	
20	(Court was called to order by the courtroom deputy.)	10:44:22
21	THE COURT: Please be seated.	
22	All right. Mr. Minns?	
23	MR. MINNS: The laster juror is seated.	
24	May I proceed, Your Honor?	
25	THE COURT: Yes.	10:45:17

United States District Court

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 46 of 66_{46}

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 47 of 66,

JOHN LOTARDO - Cross

CROSS - EXAMINATION 1 10:45:18 2

BY MR. MINNS:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Lotardo, I am Michael Minns. I represent Jim Parker. I think we just shook hands about 18, 19 seconds ago for the first time.

10:45:30

- That's correct. Α.
- Ο. I assumed from your expertise that you had taught. asked you if you had done some teaching and you said you had done a great deal of teaching lawyers and teachers and other people things about things such as change of title?

10:45:51

- Right. Yeah, either in the community or part of continuing education.
 - When a father puts a home in an irrevocable trust for the benefit of his kids and gives them a full grantor deed with no reservation of rights and he is not a trustee, the trust, then, owns the property; correct?

10:46:19

MR. PERKEL: Objection, Your Honor. This is a fact custodian witness. No experts.

THE COURT: I am going to overrule it as background to other questions that related to the documentation that has been admitted. So we will see. If you're using him as an expert, Mr. Minns, I won't allow it.

10:46:38

MR. MINNS: My intention, Your Honor, is to ask his opinions on the things he's already given expert opinions on, which is extensive.

10:46:56

	Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 48 of 66 JOHN LOTARDO - Cross	
	BOIN BOIMED CLOSE	
1	THE COURT: He hasn't given expert opinions on trusts	10:46:59
2	and I will not allow that.	
3	So in that respect, it's sustained.	
4	MR. MINNS: But he is allowed to answer this one	
5	question, Your Honor?	10:47:10
6	THE COURT: Only if it's introductory to	
7	documentation.	
8	MR. MINNS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.	
9	THE COURT: And, for example, are you going to point	
10	him to various documents?	10:47:18
11	MR. MINNS: Your Honor, I have various documents to	
12	point him to.	
13	THE COURT: Okay. He can answer this question then.	
14	Can you answer it, assuming that you can.	
15	THE WITNESS: I believe so, Your Honor.	10:47:31
16	But perhaps you can restate it briefly once more just	
17	so I make sure I understand whether it's a yes or a no to the	
18	question.	
19	THE COURT: You may restate the question.	
20	MR. MINNS: Thank you, Your Honor.	10:47:40
21	BY MR. MINNS:	
22	Q. When a father puts a home in an irrevocable trust for the	
23	benefit of his kids with a full grantor deed with no	
24	reservation of rights and he is not a trustee well, scratch	
25	that. I'll leave that part out. He no longer owns the legal	10:47:57

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 49 of 66 49 JOHN LOTARDO - Cross	
interest in the property; correct?	10:48:01
MR. PERKEL: Objection. Same objection, Your Honor.	
THE COURT: As I said, I will allow it as	
introductory to documentation where you ask him questions about	
the documents.	10:48:14
THE WITNESS: Basically, yes.	
BY MR. MINNS:	
Q. I'm going to start offering documents and asking questions	
now. The first document that I'm putting on the screen, with	
the Court's permission, is Government's Exhibit 556.	10:48:35
MR. MINNS: May I publish it?	
THE COURT: You may.	
BY MR. MINNS:	
Q. This is actually the ultimate transaction, the	
counteroffer, to purchase a piece of property was accepted and	10:48:54
this was the deal that went down, was it not?	
A. This page is the counteroffer. This was the one that	
countered the original terms and so this was ultimately the	
terms that were accepted.	
Q. Okay. And you've already testified to the document about	10:49:24
Cornerstone Trust which was created, according to the trust	
document, which you've testified from, in 1994?	
MR. PERKEL: Your Honor, I'm going to object. This	

THE COURT: That is. And I will sustain the $\,$

10:49:51

United States District Court

seems to be testimony and not question.

It depends upon each different kind of trust. I mean,

Absolutely. In this particular case, the trustee was

United States District Court

10:52:09

sometimes the trustee and the trust manager are one and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

same.

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 51 of 66 J JOHN LOTARDO - Cross	
Rachel Harris; correct? I'm publishing, with the Court's	10:52:20
permission, Government's Exhibit 120.	
A. I'm not sure what the question is. That is a different	
entity than what we were looking at earlier.	
Q. The entity	10:52:49
A. Because the Parkers had several different trusts.	
Q. Yes. The entity that we were looking at earlier	
A. I think it was Cornerstone.	
Q. Yes. And if I may be permitted.	
was Cornerstone and there was a transfer to	10:53:05
another entity	
MR. PERKEL: Your Honor, I'm going to object.	
THE COURT: Well, he's asking a question. Overruled.	
If you ask a question, I'll allow it. But no testimony. You	
can ask him the question.	10:53:30
BY MR. MINNS:	
Q was transferred to another entity which you have	
already testified about	
MR. PERKEL: Objection, Your Honor.	
THE COURT: Well, he hasn't finished the question,	10:53:50
Mr. Perkel.	
MR. MINNS: May I proceed, Your Honor?	
THE COURT: You may. Let me just say, Mr. Minns, as	
I have said a number of times, make sure you ask a question.	
Otherwise, you're going to draw my objection.	10:54:09

United States District Court

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 52 of 66 JOHN LOTARDO - Cross	
MR. MINNS: May I proceed, Your Honor?	10:54:15
THE COURT: You may.	
BY MR. MINNS:	
Q. You've already testified under questioning from the	
government that the Cornerstone house that was in Cornerstone	10:54:28
was transferred to another entity. What was the name of that	
entity?	
A. I don't recall if I saw the actual deed, but assuming this	
is the document, assuming it got transferred into Sunlight	
Financial	10:54:55
MR. PERKEL: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the	
diagram that Mr. Minns is drawing. It's testimony. It's	
argument.	
THE COURT: No. I'm going to allow it. It records	
what this witness has already testified to.	10:55:16
BY MR. MINNS:	
Q. And you've testified about the function of Stewart Title	
and there's another name for the type of testimony that you	
have given about transferring from one owner to another and	

ha it's called chain of title; correct?

10:55:44

10:56:19

Well, what we're talking about is the chain of title or the history of the title.

And the history of the title and the chain of title, how you, as a title expert, determines who owns rights to transfer the property?

	JOHN LOTARDO - Cross	
1	A. As part of the title examination, we're reviewing the	10:56:23
2	history of the title so we can see who owns the property.	
3	Q. And you've testified	
4	MR. MINNS: May I publish Government 551, Your Honor?	
5	THE COURT: Yes.	10:56:40
6	BY MR. MINNS:	
7	Q. You've testified about a note which is Government's 551	
8	and in that, at the government's request, you read, as you can	
9	imagine, the beneficiaries have their own plans how to invest	
10	the money they will be receiving.	10:57:02
11	MR. PERKEL: Objection, Your Honor.	
12	THE COURT: Sustained.	
13	Ladies and gentlemen, you are to ignore the last	
14	question. It is not a statement or evidence.	
15	You may ask a question.	10:57:15
16	MR. MINNS: Yes. If mine is stricken, I ask that the	
17	government's previous reading of it be stricken also.	
18	THE COURT: Overruled.	
19	BY MR. MINNS:	
20	Q. The fact of the matter is that the beneficiaries don't	10:57:31
21	always agree with the people who set the trust up; they may	
22	have their own designs on the money or the property?	
23	MR. PERKEL: Objection, Your Honor. It's seeking an	
24	expert opinion. Foundation.	
25	THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.	10:57:45
	United States District Court	

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 53 of 66₃

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS | Document 174 | Filed 06/12/12 | Page 54 of 66/4 | JOHN LOTARDO - Cross

BY MR. MINNS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:57:53

- Q. When you were reading the names of the conveyors of property --
- A. You mean the grantors?
 - Q. The grantors, yes, sir.

10:58:12

- A. Okay.
 - Q. You didn't just read the name -- if it was Jim Parker trustee, you didn't read the name Jim Parker. You read the full name on there, Jim Parker, managing trust or trustor; correct?

10:58:31

10:58:50

10:59:11

- 11 A. Most of the time I read as much of the title as I could.
- Q. Because the status of the person who transfers or participates in the chain of Title is extremely important to
- 14 you in your work?

That's a part of what we do.

- Q. You must make sure that the person is properly able to sign documents in the chain of title; correct?
 - A. In a general sense, yes.
 - Q. Well, you're guaranteeing that the title is good so if you make a mistake and guarantee the title good and the person doesn't have the legal right to sign the capacity, Stewart Title gets stuck?

MR. PERKEL: Objection, Your Honor, as to form.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

10:59:29

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 55 of 665 JOHN LOTARDO - Cross BY MR. MINNS: 10:59:29 And on Exhibit 121 --MR. MINNS: May I publish the cover page of 121, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. 10:59:42 BY MR. MINNS: This was between Sunlight, an Arizona limited partnership, and Universal Properties; is that correct? Right. Yes. Sunlight Financial was the listed borrower Α. trustor and then Universal was the lender. 11:00:14 And you recorded this on the chain of title at the county courthouse; correct? Yes. That was the 2005 refinance of the -- yeah. Α. And that created some rights for Universal Properties; correct? 11:00:51 I think it expanded because they had had the prior -- I think that was the 2005. So it expanded the obligation, increased it. And I'm holding up Exhibit 115. MR. MINNS: If I could approach the witness with it, 11:01:18

Your Honor?

COURTROOM DEPUTY: He has it already.

23 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. Direct me to the right

24 page.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 56 of 66 56

JOHN LOTARDO - Cross

1	BY M	ΊR.	MINNS:	11:01:29

Q. Do you have Exhibit 115 in front of you?

THE COURT: He does have it.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. MINNS:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

11:01:35

- Q. Could you hold it in the air so the jurors could see it?

 It's much thicker than the deed of trust, is it not?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. This is the promissory note agreement and everything that
- 10 is -- that the deed of trust talks about?

11:01:50

- 11 \parallel A. Actually, if I could take a moment to see what it is.
- 12 Q. Certainly.
- A. This is several different documents all attached into one
- exhibit.
 - And they relate to the deed of trust? 11:02:14
- A. Any number of things. I mean, there's several different documents in there.
- Q. Okay. Well, normally, these aren't made public, the promissory note instruments and the instruments related to them. They are not normally made public. Normally, the only
- 21 document that is made public is the deed of trust?
- A. I think what you're saying is the only document that is recorded is the deed of trust.
- 24 Q. Yes, sir.
- 25 A. That's correct.

11:02:43

11:02:29

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 57 of 66, JOHN LOTARDO - Cross And the deed of trust tells anybody that this is part of Q. 11:02:45 the chain of title and they need to check if they are interested in title. They need to check to see if there's other related documents; correct? Not necessarily. All it's doing is identifying there is a 11:03:04 deed of trust against this property and that based upon what that document says, then you figure out if there's a note or multiple notes against it, who is servicing. I mean, it starts the conversation. Excellent. Q. 11:03:24 You've testified about --MR. MINNS: May I publish government Exhibit 554, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. MINNS: 11:03:34 Q. You testified about a check from MacKinnon Belize Land and Development to Stewart Title; correct? The check that is up right now, yes. Α. Yes. But you have not testified about MacKinnon Belize Land and Development showing up anywhere on the chain of title. 11:03:50 Have you seen any instruments at all today that would put

MacKinnon Belize Land and Development in the chain of title?

United States District Court

MR. PERKEL: Objection. I think it's MacKinnon.

MR. MINNS: If I mispronounced it, I'll go by the

11:04:11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

government's pronunciation.

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 58 of 6688

JOHN LOTARDO - Cross

THE COURT: Okay. Did you see that? 1 11:04:12 THE WITNESS: I believe I pronounced it MacKinnon 2 3 Belize, dot, dot, dot, and if -- I haven't seen it other than on that check. 4 5 BY MR. MINNS: 11:04:22 So it's not in the chain of title as far as you know? 6 7 Right. But people write checks out of different accounts. It didn't really jump out at me at that point. 8 And there is -- all of the documents that you read, 9 reviewed and testified about today, there was not a single 10 11:04:42 11 one -- not a single one that identified as being part of that chain of title, Jim Moran individually or just Jim Moran and 12 not trustee or manager or anything else? 13 MR. PERKEL: Objection, foundation. Jim Moran? 14 THE COURT: Sustained on foundation. I don't know 15 11:05:04 16 who this individual is. Maybe you need to spell the name. 17 MR. MINNS: May I write it out, Your Honor? Yes, you may --THE COURT: 18 19 MR. MINNS: Oh. I apologize. I apologize, Your Jim Moran is a minister I represented in 2007. 20 Honor. 11:05:25 21 THE COURT: Well, hold, on. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm sure that Mr. Minns did not mean to make that comment as if 22 23 it was part of the evidence and you are not to consider it as part of the evidence. 24

All right. Mr. Minns.

25

United States District Court

11:05:48

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 59 of 66 a JOHN LOTARDO - Cross MR. MINNS: I apologize, Your Honor. 11:05:49 BY MR. MINNS: Let me correct myself. There's nowhere in the chain of title, no document, no evidence that you have been shown by the government or stored at Stewart Title that places Jim Parker 11:06:08 individually anywhere on this chain of title. I don't recall seeing it in his name individually from the documents we talked about. I think that's what your question is; right? Yes. Q. 11:06:32 Α. Okay. And if there is, the government will have the opportunity Q. to show it to you. MR. PERKEL: Objection to the last comment. argument. 11:06:40 THE COURT: Yes. Sustained. Ladies and gentlemen, the last question, assuming it was one, you are to ignore. It's not evidence. MR. MINNS: May I post the Government's Exhibit 562, please, Your Honor. 11:06:59 THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. MINNS:

You've already testified about Government Exhibit 562 and

11:07:22

I have a couple of additional questions. First of all, you can

see the first highlighted line, there's a name and the name --

United States District Court

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 60 of 66 JOHN LOTARDO - Cross could you read the name to the jurors, please, as to the first 11:07:30 highlighted portion of this, the borrower? The borrower? Α. Oh. No. I'm incorrect. Ο. What would you like me to look at? I think you're only 11:07:45 looking at part of the page. I'm completely incompetent with this. Thank you. You've done this more than me. The name of the borrower is --Well, it's listed James R. Parker, comma, trust manager. 11:08:06 Α. Not James Parker individually? I mean, it says -- yeah, it says what it says. Α. And from your experience handling these transactions where Q. parents set up properties and trusts for children, it's not at all unusual for the parents to dominate the proceedings for 11:08:24 their minor children? MR. PERKEL: Object. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. MINNS: The name of the seller, if I haven't messed that up, who 11:08:36 is the seller on this document?

It's listed as Robert W. Dietrich, Jr., as successor,

United States District Court

First, that means that Robert, however we pronounce it, is

not personally on this; correct? He's signing this as trustee. 11:09:04

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trustee.

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 61 of 66

JOHN LOTARDO - Cross

- A. Yeah. I mean, I'm sure that the title is a little bit longer but due to computer limitations, it gives us the idea that he's acting as the trustee of the trust.
- Q. And it also tells us that he wasn't the first. There was someone that was a trustee before him?
- A. Yeah. Typically, when they say successor trustee, it gives the impression that there was someone before him.
- Q. And that's not at all uncommon either because a lot of these trusts are set up to avoid probate; correct?

MR. PERKEL: Objection, Your Honor. Asking for opinion.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Let me see counsel at the sidebar.

(At sidebar.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Minns, I don't wanted to embarrass you in front of your client and in front of the jury, but you are making efforts to make an expert witness out of this man, this witness, and I am not going to allow it. If you ask him questions about the documents without asking him for an opinion, I will allow it. But anything that goes beyond that, and it's obvious to me that you are trying to use this witness as an expert to establish your defense in this case, I am not going to allow it.

MR. MINNS: May I please respond, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

United States District Court

11:09:12

11:09:28

11:09:46

11:10:07

11:10:27

11:10:48

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 62 of 662

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOHN LOTARDO - Cross

MR. MINNS: The government did not identify him as an 11:10:49 expert but his entire testimony was expert testimony and it was very well done and he is an expert. He was put on by the government to explain these complicated real estate matters and chain of title in the way that they wished it to be done. And he has testified to all of these documents. He has enlightened the jurors on the usage of these documents, how they work and custom and standard practice.

And now my ability to do equal and cross-examine is being cut off at my knees and I should have been given a CV in advance, they should have put him on their expert witness list. I think less than 10 percent of his testimony was pure factual in nature. He has no personal knowledge of any of the facts in this case.

I'll stand by the Court's order. I always obey the Court's orders, but I feel I must make a record and I feel that this is error allowing the government to use him as an expert and not allowing me to cross-examine him.

MR. PERKEL: Well, the government didn't use him as an expert, Your Honor. He read from the documents. explained the relationship from one document to the next. There was no opinion as to whether it was proper to set up a trust or not proper to set up a trust. It was managing the trust or who was the person behind the trust. We didn't ask him any questions about his opinion about whether this reflects 11:12:15

United States District Court

11:11:02

11:11:24

11:11:43

11:12:00

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 63 of 66₃

JOHN LOTARDO - Cross

a nominee or an alter ego of anybody. We really asked him to read from the documents, explain the basics of the documents to the jury. It is complicated and that's what he did. There has been no expert testimony.

THE COURT: And that is correct. If he had given expert testimony, and I precluded that from the beginning, and you had popped up and you had made an appropriate objection, I would have sustained it.

Retrospectively, as I think about the questions that were asked, the answers that were given, I agree with Mr. Perkel. He has not been an expert witness. He has been a witness only with respect to the documents and his experience in connection with those documents.

It was almost as if we didn't need him to do that.

And I am sure with your experience, you would say the same.

But most of the jurors, if not all of them, know what a deed of trust is, what documentation in connection with deeds of trusts are and what recording of those documents are, and that is essentially what we heard.

So don't ask him expert witness testimony about trusts, about parents placing documentation or placing property in trusts and suggesting answers to him because I will sustain those objections as they are made. I will instruct the jury, and you will raise the ire of this court in front of your counsel and the jury.

United States District Court

11:12:18

11:12:31

11:12:49

11:13:08

11:13:31

11:14:03

1	We're finished.	11:14:04
2	MR. MINNS: Thank you, Your Honor.	
3	(End sidebar.)	
4	MR. MINNS: Under the circumstances, Your Honor, I am	
5	nearly finished.	11:15:49
6	THE COURT: All right.	
7	MR. MINNS: May I have the Court's permission to	
8	display Exhibit 547?	
9	THE COURT: Yes.	
10	MR. MINNS: May I have the Court's permission to ask	11:16:07
11	the witness to read the highlighted portion on Exhibit 547?	
12	THE COURT: Yes.	
13	BY MR. MINNS:	
14	Q. Would you read the highlighted portion?	
15	A. Yes. It says, "Prepayment penalty," and then the amount	11:16:17
16	next to it is \$21,300.	
17	MR. MINNS: Your Honor, may I ask and it would be	
18	my last question if that is a very large prepayment penalty?	
19	MR. PERKEL: I have no objection.	
20	THE COURT: I don't know if you're going to have an	11:16:49
21	objection to that.	
22	MR. PERKEL: No objection.	
23	THE COURT: No objection. Okay. He can answer that	
24	if he can.	
25		

United States District Court

Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 64 of 66_4

JOHN LOTARDO - Cross

	Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 65 of 66 ₅	
	JOHN LOTARDO - Cross	
1	BY MR. MINNS:	11:16:55
2	Q. That's a pretty big prepayment penalty, isn't it?	
3	A. In and of itself it is, but I've seen a lot larger.	
4	You've got to consider the amount of the case, the amount of	
5	borrowed.	11:17:07
6	MR. MINNS: Pleasure meeting you, sir.	
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
8	THE COURT: Redirect if you have any?	
9	MR. PERKEL: No, Your Honor.	
10	THE COURT: You may step down.	11:17:15
11	And you're next witness?	
12	(Witness excused.)	
13	(End of excerpted portion.)	
14	* * * *	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2425		
∠ ⊃		

	Case 2:10-cr-00757-ROS Document 174 Filed 06/12/12 Page 66 of 66	
	JOHN LOTARDO - Cross	
1	CERTIFICATE	11:17:17
2		
3	I, ELAINE M. CROPPER, do hereby certify that I am	
4	duly appointed and qualified to act as Official Court Reporter	
5	for the United States District Court for the District of	11:17:17
6	Arizona.	
7		
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing pages constitute	
9	a full, true, and accurate transcript of all of that portion of	
10	the proceedings contained herein, had in the above-entitled	11:17:17
11	cause on the date specified therein, and that said transcript	
12	was prepared under my direction and control, and to the best of	
13	my ability.	
14		
15	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 12th day of June,	11:17:17
16	2012.	
17		
18		
19		
20	s/Elaine M. Cropper	11:17:17
21	Elaine M. Cropper, RDR, CRR, CCP	
22		
23		
24		
25		
	United States District Court	